



CCSESA

California County Superintendents Educational Services Association

1121 L Street, Suite 510, Sacramento, CA 95814 ■ P 916.446.3095 ■ F 916.448.7801 ■ www.ccsesa.org

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

President

Mr. Gary Jones
Modoc County

President-Elect

Dr. Christine Lizardi Frazier
Kern County

Past President

Mr. Stan Mantooth
Ventura County

Treasurer

Dr. Al Mijares
Orange County

State & Federal Legislative

Committee Chair

Mr. Kenn Young
Riverside County

Executive Director

Mr. Peter Birdsall

REGION CHAIRS

Region 1 Chair

Mr. Steve Herrington
Sonoma County

Region 2 Chair

Mr. Kermith Walters
Siskiyou County

Region 3 Chair

Ms. Holly Hermansen
Nevada County

Region 4 Chair

Ms. Anne Campbell
San Mateo County

Region 5 Chair

Mr. Jon Gundry
Santa Cruz County

Region 6 Chair

Mr. Richard F. Glock
Amador County

Region 7 Chair

Mr. Jim Vidak
Tulare County

Region 8 Chair

Dr. Jim Brescia
San Luis Obispo County

Region 9 Chair

Dr. Randy Ward
San Diego County

Region 10 Chair

Dr. Stacey Adler
Mono County

Region 11 Chair

Dr. Arturo Delgado
Los Angeles County

COMMITTEE CHAIRS

Business Partnerships

Mr. Dave Gordon
Sacramento County

Business and

Administration (BASC)

Mr. Josh Schultz
Napa County

Curriculum and

Instruction (CISC)

Dr. Valerie Chrisman
Ventura County

Personnel and

Administration (PASSCo)

Ms. Eva Chavez
Merced County

Student Programs and

Services (SPSSC)

Ms. Janet Hunt
Mono County

Technology and

Telecommunications (TTSC)

Mr. Dane Lancaster
Marin County

May 29, 2015

The Honorable Patrick O'Donnell
Chair, Assembly Education Committee
State Capitol, Room 4166
Sacramento, CA 95814

AB 575 (O'DONNELL): BEST PRACTICES TEACHER EVALUATION SYSTEM—OPPOSE

Dear Assembly Member O'Donnell:

On behalf of the California County Superintendents Educational Services Association (CCSESA), I am writing to inform you that our organization has adopted an "oppose" position on AB 575 as amended in the Assembly Appropriations Committee.

AB 575 proposes an inappropriate expansion of the scope of collective bargaining that would negatively impact the ability of locally elected governing boards to meet their responsibility to adopt policies that ensure that all students are making progress towards meeting board-established standards of pupil achievement. This fundamental responsibility is central to the accountability of locally elected officials, to the voters in their communities, and to the students they are elected to serve.

AB 575 would amend current law to remove provisions of law concerning standards of expected student achievement outside of the article that governs the development and implementation of teacher evaluation systems. To promote this disconnect of teacher evaluation from student performance is contrary to the fundamental purpose for which teachers are employed. AB 575 would then further confuse the issues by expanding the scope of bargaining to include the performance standards based on which teachers are evaluated. This would mean that elected officials could not be held directly accountable for those standards.

AB 575 would establish new mandates on the system of teacher and administrator evaluation, without a meaningful plan for funding those requirements and defining how they interact with other critical issues of teacher and administrator evaluation, support and dismissal. The bill thus has the effect of making it more likely that districts will fail to meet the new standards and will therefore have an even more difficult time removing low-performing employees.

In our view, AB 575 moves in the wrong direction on the key issues related to teacher and administrator evaluation.

Sincerely,

Sandra Morales, Assistant Executive Director

cc: Chelsea Kelly, Consultant, Assembly Education Committee